## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	No. 19-4031-01-CR-C-BCW
WESLEY BRIAN KASTER,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## <u>ORDER</u>

On March 20, 2019, the Grand Jury returned a two-count indictment charging Wesley Brian Kaster. (Doc. 11).

On April 3, 2019, defendant Wesley Brian Kaster filed a motion for continuance of the May 6, 2019 trial setting. (Doc. 16). Defendant states the United States Attorney does not oppose the motion.

In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the defendant's trial shall commence within seventy days from the filing of the information or indictment or the date of the defendant's first appearance, whichever comes last. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1) (Speedy Trial Act). In computing the time within which the trial of any offense must commence, any period of delay that results from a continuance, which serves the end of justice, "granted by a judge on his own motion or at the request of the defendant or his or her counsel or at the request of the attorney for the Government," shall be excluded from computing the time. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Speedy Trial Act requires that the Court set forth its reasons for finding that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Factors which this Court shall consider in determining whether to grant a continuance include whether the failure to grant such a continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice and whether or not the case is so complex that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for trial within the time limit established.

Defendant states the continuance is sought for additional time to review discovery and adequately prepare for trial.

Failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel for Defendant the reasonable time

necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court

finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interests of the

public and Defendant in a speedy trial. The motion for continuance of the May 6, 2019 trial setting

will be granted and the case will be reset for trial on the Joint Criminal Jury Trial Docket which

commences on September 23, 2019.

The Court in ordering this case removed from the May 6, 2019 Joint Criminal Jury Trial

Docket is not doing so because of congestion of the Court's calendar, in accordance with 18 U.S.C.

§ 3161(h)(7)(C).

IT IS ORDERED that the motion of defendant Wesley Brian Kaster for continuance of the

May 6, 2019 trial setting is granted. It is further

ORDERED that the above-captioned case is reset for trial on the Joint Criminal Jury Trial

Docket which commences on September 23, 2019. It is further

ORDERED that a pretrial conference is reset for September 5, 2019, at 2:00 p.m. It is

further

ORDERED that the additional period of delay in commencing Defendant's trial caused by

this continuance shall be excluded in computing the time within which this trial shall commence

under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 4th day of April, 2019, at Jefferson City, Missouri.

Willie J. Epps, Jr.

United States Magistrate Judge

Willie J. Epps, Jr.